

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/403,437	12/20/1999	ISA ODIDI	10914-11	7273
75	90 . 11/18/2002			
DINSMORE & SHOHL			EXAMINER	
255 EAST FIFT 1900 CHEMED	CENTER		PULLIAM, AMY E	
CINCINNATI, OH 45202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/403,437	ODIDI ET AL.			
<b>Office</b>	ction Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Amy E Pulliam	1615			
The MAR 'G DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED CTATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING CATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time and after SIX (6) MONTH  - If the period for report of the per						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 August 2002</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
•	application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is			
closed in a cordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Clar						
4) Claim(s)	4 is/are pending in the application	•				
<b>4a</b> ) Of the .	of the . rove claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1 34 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Pape						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The draw⊕g s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant in ay not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The propes—'drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approximatorized drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The pattern relaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 : C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknow' ment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b; Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. C and copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Compared the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage lication from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the at addetailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowle in and is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowled a gent is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
	statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 17	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application 'ontrol Number: 09/403,437

Art Unit: 17 5

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Receipt of Papers

Recent is acknowledged of the Information Disclosure Statement, the Amendment D, the Supplement. Amendment E, and the 1.132 Declaration, received by the Office April 29, 2002, August 26, 102, September 5, 2002m and September 18, 2002.

App cant's arguments and declaration have been considered but are rendered moot in view of the law grounds of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The allowing is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousnes ejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A stent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are at the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Clair s 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,000,962 to Sangekar et al., in view of US 5,162,117 to Stupak et al..

Sange kar et al. teach a long acting formulation which comprises a swellable polymer.

More specifically, Sangekar et al. teach that examples of swellable hydrophilic polymers include HPMC, HEC, and HPC, which can be used alone or in combination (c 2, 157-61).

Furthermore Sangekar et al. teach the presence of a binder in the composition to combine with the swellable hydrophilic polymer, such as ethylcellulose (c 3, 151-55). The reference also teaches that the binder be present at between 2-6% of the weight of the composition (c 3, 158).

Art Unit: 1 5

The reference also teaches the inclusion of additional excipients, such as diluents (spray dried lactose) and jubricants (c 3, 141-50). Therefore, the teachings of Sangekar *et al.* teach the combination of HPMC and HEC, further in combination with EC, for the creation of a long acting phare accurring formulation.

Sangekar et al. do not specifically teach how long the formulation will release. However, the reference does teach that the formulation is suitable for once daily or twice daily administration. This implies that the dosage form releases for either 12 or 24 hours, before a new dosage orm is necessary (c 2, 132).

Sang sar et al. do not teach the specific additives and excipient as claimed by applicant.

Stup 'k et al. is relied upon for the teaching that applicant's claimed excipients are all very well keep with in the pharmaceutical art, and therefore would have been obvious to include in any pharmaceutical formulation, especially one which has the same function of controlled release. Sturnk et al. disclose a controlled release solid dosage tablet. More specifically, Stupak et al. teach t' at the tablet core of their invention can include excipients including diluents such as microcrystal ne cellulose, lubricants, glidants such as silicon dioxide, as well as sodium lauryl sulfate and tose (c 2-3). Additionally, Stupak et al. teach that their composition can have a coating, which can be a methacrylic acid copolymer coating (c 5, claim 5). Again, the Stupak reference is relied upon to show that applicant's claimed excipients are all known in the art of pharmaceut all formulations, and therefore would be obvious to include in a tablet formulation.

It is position of the examiner that the main component of applicant's invention is the mixture of property in the core of the composition, which is disclosed generally by Sangekar et al.. Further, we of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings

Application Control Number: 09/403,437

Art Unit: 1(15

of Sangekar et al. and Stupak et al., and use any of the well known pharmaceutical excipients described by Stupak et al. in the composition disclosed by Sangekar et al.. The expected result would be a ccessful controlled release pharmaceutical composition. Therefore, this invention as a whole vould have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention we made.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set for the in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on April 29, 2002 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 609(B)(2) Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(:

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(\*\* will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, with the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application Control Number: 09/403,437

Art Unit: 1015

Page 5

Correspondence

Any equiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Amy E Pulliam whose telephone number is 703-308-4710. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-5:00, Alternate Fri 8:30-5:00.

If at mpts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor. Firman Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3592 for regular

communications and 703-305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

A. Pulliam

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 161

November 11, 2002

THURMAN K. PAGE UPERVISZRY PATENT EXAMINER

ILLHNULUGY CENTER 1600